

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>Aarts et al., 2010</p> <p>The Netherlands</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>7/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 52 children</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 2.5 – 8 yrs old; Intervention group: 4.8 ± 1.3 yrs old; Control group: 5.1 ± 1.7 yrs old.</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100% were diagnosed at enrollment.</p> <p>CP Type: Unilateral, spastic</p> <p>GMFCS (Gross Motor Function Classification System) : Level I: 48/50 (96%) Level II: 2/50 (4%) (n=2 withdrew).</p> <p>MACS (Manual Ability Classification System): Level I: 18/50 (6%) Level II: 22/50 (44%) Level III: 12/50 (24%)</p>	<p>Modified constraint-induced movement therapy combined with bimanual training (mCIMT-BiT) (n=28)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Usual care (n=24)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p>3-hr clinic sessions, 3 times/week for 8 weeks for a total of 9 hrs/week of therapy time.</p> <p><i>mCIMT – BiT:</i></p> <p>Consists of putting a sling on the unaffected arm and hand and use the affected arm for all activities (e.g. holding a sword in a pirate game) for the first 6 weeks. Shaping and repetitive task practice were provided, with immediate feedback on performance. The last 2 weeks, the emphasis was on task-specific exercises in goal-directed bimanual play and self-care activities without restraint.</p>	<p>At post-treatment (9 weeks):</p> <p><i>Spontaneous use of the assisting hand in bimanual activities:</i> (+) Assisting Hand Assessment (AHA)</p> <p><i>Manual ability:</i> (+) ABILHAND-Kids</p> <p><i>Quality of upper extremity movement:</i> (-) Melbourne Assessment of Unilateral Upper Limb Function</p> <p><i>Parent perception of infant current performance:</i> (+) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) – performance</p> <p><i>Parent satisfaction with infant current performance:</i> (+) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) –satisfaction</p> <p><i>Goal attainment:</i> (+) Goal Attainment Scaling (GAS)</p> <p>At follow-up (17 weeks):</p> <p>Between-group differences not provided.</p> <p>The following improvements were</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p><i>Usual care:</i></p> <p>Consists of individual OT and PT, 2 times per week for 0.5 – 1 hr sessions, where the child engaged in exercises to stretch the affected arm, improve weight-bearing capacity, and use the affected arm and hand as a good assist. Caregivers were asked to stimulate children in daily activities and received oral and written instructions.</p>	<p>maintained for the intervention group:</p> <p>(+) AHA (+) ABILHAND-Kids</p>
<p>Chamudot et al., 2018</p> <p>Israel</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>7/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 36 infants with hemiplegic CP</p> <p>Age of enrollment: 11.1 ± 2.2 months</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Spastic Hemiplegia 100%</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p>Modified constraint induced movement therapy (mCIMT) (n=18)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Bimanual therapy (BIM) (n=18)</p> <p><i>Intervention details:</i></p> <p>1-hour daily play sessions with parents, 7 days/week for 8 weeks (1 hour session could be divided into 2x 30-minute sessions).</p> <p><i>mCIMT:</i></p> <p>Infants were required to wear a soft custom-</p>	<p>At post-treatment (8 weeks):</p> <p><i>Bimanual hand use:</i></p> <p>(-) Mini-AHA (the infant version of the assisting hand assessment)</p> <p>(-) The Functional Inventory (FI) – Bilateral Hand Use (BHU)</p> <p><i>Unilateral hand use:</i></p> <p>(-) The Functional Inventory (FI) – Unilateral Hand Use (UHU)</p> <p><i>Gross motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) The Functional Inventory (FI) –Gross motor skills (GMS)</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>made mitt throughout play session on their functional hand.</p> <p>Toys/activities provided encouraged unilateral hand use (ex: knock down tower, eat cookie) of their affected hand</p> <p><i>BIM:</i></p> <p>Toys/Activities encouraged use of both hands symmetrically (e.g. pull beads off stick, take blocks out of container).</p> <p><i>Both interventions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Home programs (individualised based on Mini-AHA results & designed to encourage use of affected hand) • Treatment performed in sitting position (trunk support provided) • Professional guidance 1x/week at home by OT. Therapist's guidance was based on principles of motor learning using highly motivating activities with specific task practices & repetitive practices. • When necessary the therapists supplied parents with appropriate toys for the activities. • Parents were guided on how to ensure the infants were receiving positive reinforcement from the activities. 	

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>Christmas et al., 2018</p> <p>United Kingdom</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>7/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 62 children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy</p> <p>Age at enrollment: Children 18m - 4 years</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Unilateral CP: 100%</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p>Prolonged Restraint (n=30)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Manual Restraint (n=32)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p>1 hour total per day (intermittent execution allowed) for 6 weeks (3 blocks of 2 weeks – interspersed with 2 weeks of rest). Intervention flexible but completed within 10 weeks.</p> <p><i>Prolonged Restraint:</i></p> <p>24 hour short-arm device applied by the therapist, in place throughout the two-week intervention blocks. Either a semi-rigid (3M soft) custom-made cast, or wrist splint extending from metacarpal heads to above the wrist (with crepe bandage enclosing the fingers and thumb). Caregivers could easily remove it if needed.</p>	<p>At post-treatment (10 weeks):</p> <p><i>Bimanual performance:</i></p> <p>(-) Assisting Hand Assessment (AHA)</p> <p>(+) Birmingham Bimanual Questionnaire (parent reported)</p> <p><i>Upper limb quality of movement:</i></p> <p>(-) Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test (QUEST)</p> <p><i>Quality of life (physical, emotional, social and cognitive functioning):</i></p> <p>(-) The Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory[GI4] 4.0 Generic Core Scale (Children 2 years and above)</p> <p>(-) The Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Infant Scale (children less than 2 years)</p> <p><i>Therapy dose:</i></p> <p>(+) Parent Diaries/Questionnaire</p> <p>Follow-up (24 weeks):</p> <p><i>Bimanual function:</i></p> <p>(-) Birmingham Bimanual Questionnaire</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p><i>Manual Restraint:</i></p> <p>Hand-over-hand holding of the unaffected upper limb to encourage use of affected upper limb.</p> <p><i>Both interventions:</i></p> <p>Therapy executed intermittently during play or functional tasks for a total of 1 hour/day by caregivers (parents and pre-school workers) in usual environment(s). Interventions aimed to promote mass practice of the affected upper limb to improve grasp, release, reaching, in-hand manipulation and use of an assisting hand during bimanual activity. Substantial verbal encouragement and praise promoted during interventions.</p> <p>Caregivers were asked to record a daily diary of a child's cooperation and participated in a weekly questionnaire to monitor a child's overall cooperation and the amount of prescribed therapy completed.</p>	<p><i>Quality of life (physical, emotional, social and cognitive functioning):</i></p> <p>(-) The Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory 4.0 Generic Core Scale (Children 2 years and above)</p> <p>(+) Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Infant Scale (children less than 2 years)</p>
<p>Deluca et al., 2006</p> <p>USA</p>	<p>N = 9 children with hemiparesis CP</p> <p>Age at enrollment: Under 8 years old (7-96 months)</p>	<p>Pediatric constraint induced therapy (n=9)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Crossover group (n=8)</p>	<p>Post-treatment (3 weeks from baseline):</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test (QUEST)</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>RCT (randomised controlled crossover trial)</p> <p>5/10</p> <p>Fair quality</p>	<p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Hemiparesis 100%</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p><i>Pediatric constraint induced therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 hours/day (if child needed breaks or nap, additional time was added to ensure full dose of 6 hours of active treatment) • 21 consecutive days • Treatment was one on one, same therapist for all 21 days • Intervention done by OT or PT that had specialised training from authors • Child's less involved UE was casted from upper arm to fingertips <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lightweight fiberglass cast - Bivalved to provide weekly removal to check skin integrity, clean arm, allow for ROM • Treatment included tasks such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bearing weight on arm - Reaching, grasping, holding, manipulating an object - Fine motor hand skills - ADLs (dressing, undressing, eating, grooming) • Frequent & immediate praise or rewards were used • Behaviour was shaped to promote increasingly more advanced levels. Increasing precision, strength, fluency, automaticity and functional versatility. • Tasks were divided into small component skills and then chained together as the child's ability increased 	<p>(+) Pediatric Motor Activity Log (PMAL): frequency of use</p> <p>(+) PMAL: quality of movement</p> <p>(+) Emerging Behaviors Scale</p> <p>Post-treatment 2 (6 weeks from baseline):</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) QUEST</p> <p>(+) PMAL: frequency of use</p> <p>(+) PMAL: quality of movement</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On average a child participated in 2 distinct UE activities each hour with many opportunities for the child to return to favourite activities to keep the child interested and motivated. • Parents were encouraged to join in therapy related activities and learn how to facilitate/give frequent praise <p><i>Control/crossover group:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued participation in their previously established EI programs, school based therapy or private therapy sessions • Control children received their above interventions for a mean of 2.2 hours/week (low of 1 session in the 21 days to a high of 4x1 hour sessions/week) • Then children crossed over to receive the pediatric constraint-induced therapy(8 out of 9 control crossed over, one child could not due to conflict in family schedule) 	
Eliasson et al., 2018 Sweden RCT	N = 37 infants with clinical signs of unilateral CP Age at enrollment: 3 months- 8 months corrected age	Baby constraint induced movement therapy (Baby-CIMT) group (n=19) vs. Baby massage group (n=18)	At post-treatment (18 weeks): <i>Manual abilities:</i> Hand Assessment for Infants (-) Both hand measure (+) Affected hand raw score and

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>6/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>CP diagnosis: 89% (33/37) of those who participated in study received a CP diagnosis</p> <p>CP Type:</p> <p>94% (31/33) of those diagnosed with CP at 12 months had unilateral CP</p> <p>6% (2/33) had bilateral CP and were excluded from analysis</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p>30-minute sessions, daily, 6x/week for 12 weeks for a total of 36hrs. For both treatments, there was 6 weeks of intervention, followed by 6 weeks pause, followed by 6 weeks intervention.</p> <p><i>Baby-CIMT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The non-affected hand was restrained (mitten or something similar) • Training done at home by parents • Parents received coaching and supervision by OT • Weekly home visit by OT • Infant was seated upright and stable • Grasping and toy exploration was the main focus • Each week the focus was based on infants ability and progress • Duration of training was noted in a diary <p><i>Baby massage:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full body massage once each day, 6 days/week • 12 weeks duration • Total 72 occasions • Sessions could be 5-30 minutes depending on infants mood 	<p>interquartile range (IQR)</p> <p>(-) Non-affected hand raw score and IQR</p> <p><i>Parents' sense of confidence and satisfaction of the parenting (mother):</i></p> <p>(-) Parenting Sense of Competence Scale (PSCS)</p> <p><i>Parents' sense of confidence and satisfaction of the parenting (father):</i></p> <p>(+) Parenting Sense of Competence Scale (PSCS)</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents received 3 sessions of individual instruction from a certified instructor in baby-massage • The number of massages was noted in a diary 	
<p>Facchin et al., 2011</p> <p>Italy</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>5/10</p> <p>Fair quality</p>	<p>N = 105 children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 3-8 years</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Hemiplegic 100%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • right side: 56/105 (53%) • left side: 49/105 (47%) <p>CP Level (GMFCS) (%):</p> <p>Level I: 26 (25%) Level II: 45 (43%) Level III: 34 (32%)</p>	<p>Modified Constraint-Induced movement therapy (mCIMT) (n=39)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Bimanual Intensive Rehabilitation Program (IRP) (n=33)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Standard Treatment (ST) (n=33)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p><i>mCIMT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 hrs./day, 7 days/week • 10 weeks • 3x/week at rehabilitation center (1.5 hrs. with therapist leading while parents were present, 1.5 hours with parents leading) • 4x/week at home with parents leading • Restraint used on dominant hand: 	<p>Post-treatment (10 weeks post baseline):</p> <p>mCIMT vs. ST</p> <p><i>Upper limb function:</i></p> <p>(+) Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test (QUEST): Dissociated movements (-) QUEST: Grasps (-) QUEST: Weight bearing (+) QUEST: Protective extension (+) QUEST: Global score (+) Besta Scale (BS): Global score (+) BS: Grasp (-) BS: Bimanual spontaneous use</p> <p><i>Activities of daily living:</i></p> <p>(-) BS: Activities of daily living in 2-6 yrs old (+) BS: Activities of daily living in 7-8 yrs old *</p> <p>* favoring ST vs. mCIMT</p> <p>mCIMT vs. IRP</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fabric glove with a built in volar stiff plastic splint - Prevents flexing fingers/prevents grasping - Thumb is kept fixed tight against index finger • Intensive rehabilitation program based on unimanual activities • Motor learning approach during play sessions and ADLs • Child encouraged to solve tasks requiring unilateral use of the paretic hand • Tasks goals referred to 4 main domains <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perceptual motor activities - Activities of reaching, grasping, holding, and manipulating - Postural and balance activities - Self care and ADL's <p><i>IRP:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 hrs./day, 7 days/week • 10 weeks • 3x/week at rehabilitation center (1.5 hrs. with therapist while parents were present, 1.5 hours with parents leading) • 4x/week at home with parents leading • Intensive rehabilitation program based on bimanual tasks/activities • Motor learning approach during play sessions and ADLs • Child encouraged to solve tasks using both hands 	<p><i>Upper limb function:</i></p> <p>(-) QUEST: Dissociated movements (-) QUEST: Grasps (-) QUEST: Weight bearing (-) QUEST: Protective extension (-) QUEST: Global score (-) BS: Global score (-) BS: Grasp (-) BS: Bimanual spontaneous use</p> <p><i>Activities of daily living:</i></p> <p>(-) BS: Activities of daily living in 2-6 yrs old (-) BS: Activities of daily living in 7-8 yrs old</p> <p>IRP vs. ST</p> <p><i>Upper limb function:</i></p> <p>(-) QUEST: Dissociated movements (-) QUEST: Grasps (+) QUEST: Weight bearing (-) QUEST: Protective extension (+) QUEST: Global score (+) BS: Global score (-) BS: Grasp (-) BS: Bimanual spontaneous use</p> <p><i>Activities of daily living:</i></p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tasks goals referred to 4 main domains <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perceptual motor activities - Activities of reaching, grasping, holding, and manipulating - Postural and balance activities - Self care and ADL's <p><i>ST:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 weeks • 1 hour session, 1-2x/week • Frequency and type of intervention depended on age: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Infants received physiotherapy 2x/week - Preschool/school age children received occupational therapy 1x/week 	<p>(-) BS: Activities of daily living in 2-6 yrs old</p> <p>(-) BS: Activities of daily living in 7-8 yrs old</p>
<p>Gelkop et al., 2015</p> <p>Israel</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>7/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 12 children with congenital hemiplegic cerebral palsy</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 1.5 - 7 years</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Unilateral (hemiplegic) 100%</p>	<p>Modified Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy (modified CIMT) (n=6)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Hand-Arm Bimanual Intensive Therapy (HABIT) (n=6)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p><i>Baseline Period</i> (2 months prior to CIMT or</p>	<p>At post-treatment (8 weeks): (Post Baseline Period to Immediate post-intervention)</p> <p><i>Bimanual performance:</i></p> <p>(-) Assisting Hand Assessment</p> <p><i>Upper extremity function:</i></p> <p>(+) Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test (QUEST): Dissociated movement</p> <p>(-) QUEST: Grasp</p> <p>(-) QUEST: Protective extension</p> <p>(-) QUEST: Weight bearing</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
	<p>CP Level (GMFCS): N/A</p> <p>CP level (MACS): *Only available for children under 4 years old (n=9): Level I: 2/9 (22%) Level II: 4/9 (45%) Level III: 3/9 (33%)</p>	<p>HABIT intervention):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2-3 sessions per week (40-60 min/session) of occupational therapy (OT) and physical therapy • Focus of sessions was to improve strength, range of motion, and awareness of hand through guided movements (neurodevelopmental theory) • Stretching included in sessions <p><i>Intervention Period (CIMT and HABIT)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CIMT or HABIT was provided for 2 hrs./day, 6 days/week for 8 weeks • Intervention provided during the children's regular preschool or kindergarten hours • CIMT or HABIT sessions were divided into 1 hour individual sessions (1:1 with OT) and 1 hr. group session with 2-3 interventionalists (ratio of 1:2 or 1:1 interventionist to child ratio) • Interventionists included OTs and therapist assistants • Each child was given an individualized program according to their specific abilities • Both approaches involve intensive, progressive task practice based on motor learning approaches • Age specific encouragement provided to ensure activities were motivating • Activities included activities of daily 	

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>living and a variety of child-friendly games which could be carried out indoors or outdoors</p> <p><i>CIMT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restraint of the less-affected upper extremity with practice of unimanual tasks using affected upper-extremity • Custom made gloves on less-affected hand was worn in only the second hour of CIMT • Fine-motor and gross motor activities catered to the age of the child were performed to elicit movements of the more affected hand (unimanual activities) <p><i>HABIT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Absence of restraint • Task practice using fine and gross motor movements was progressed bimanually • Activity selection was based on the ability of the child's paretic hand and focused on using the assisting hand for tasks requiring complex bimanual coordination • Children were encouraged to participate in identifying movements to complete an action (problem solving) 	

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>Hoare et al., 2013</p> <p>Australia</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>8/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 34 children with unilateral CP</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 18 months - 6 years old</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Unilateral</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p>BoNT-A and modified constraint-induced movement therapy (mCIMT) (n=17)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>BoNT-A and Bimanual Occupational Therapy (BOT) (n=17)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p>Interventions began 1 month after BoNT-A injection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 60 min 2x/week for 8 weeks • Individual clinic-based treatment sessions • Individualised home program provided to each child <p><i>mCIMT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neoprene glove worn on dominant, least impaired hand to restrict use of that limb • Glove worn 3 hours per day, 7 days per week for 8-week treatment period, inclusive of therapy time and home programme • Glove use limited to 30 min duration in therapy sessions • Unimanual tasks used to facilitate 	<p>At post-treatment (8 weeks):</p> <p><i>Bimanual performance:</i></p> <p>(-) Assisting Hand Assessment (AHA)</p> <p><i>Quality of upper limb movement:</i></p> <p>(-) Quality of Upper Extremity Skills Test(QUEST): Grasp</p> <p>(-) QUEST: dissociated movement</p> <p><i>Occupational performance:</i></p> <p>(-) Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI) (Caregiver reported)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Self-Care Domain</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(-) Functional skills</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(-) Caregiver assistance</p> <p>(-) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) (caregiver responses)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(-) Performance</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(-) Satisfaction</p> <p><i>Goal attainment:</i></p> <p>(-) Goal Attainment Scale (GAS)</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p>repetitive practice of movement and skills of impaired limb</p> <p><i>BOT:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Repetitive practice of bimanual activities • AHA item difficulty hierarchy used to guide selection of specific activities • Home programme had no time requirements 	<p>Follow-up (6 months post BoNT-A Treatment)</p> <p><i>Quality of upper limb movement:</i></p> <p>(+) QUEST: Grasp (-) QUEST: dissociated movement</p> <p><i>Occupational performance:</i></p> <p>(-) PEDI</p> <p>Self-Care Domain (-) Functional skills (-) Caregiver assistance</p> <p>(-) COPM</p> <p>(-) Performance (-) Satisfaction</p> <p><i>Goal attainment:</i></p> <p>(-) Goal Attainment Scale (GAS)</p>
<p>Taub et al., 2004</p> <p>USA</p> <p>RCT</p>	<p>N = 18 Children diagnosed with hemiparesis associated with cerebral palsy</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 7-96 months. (mean age - 41.5 months)</p>	<p>Pediatric Constraint-Induced Therapy (n=9)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Conventional Treatment (n=9)</p>	<p>At post-treatment (3 weeks):</p> <p><i>Upper extremity movement:</i></p> <p>(+) Emerging Behaviors Scale</p> <p>(+) Pediatric Motor Activity Log – parent reported questionnaire</p> <p>(+) Toddler Arm Use Test</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>5/10</p> <p>Fair quality</p>	<p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Unilateral: 13/18 (72%) Bilateral: 5/18 (28%)</p> <p>GMFCS Level: N/A</p>	<p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p><i>Pediatric Constraint-Induced Therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 hours/day of treatment for 21 consecutive days • Treatments provided by occupational therapists, physical therapist (PT) or PT assistant • Focused on encouraging the use of the more-affected arm and hand (referred to as “shaping”) during tasks that were motivating to child • Reaching, grasping, holding, manipulating an object and bearing weight on the arm, and making hand gestures were broken down to component skills and worked on individually • Everyday tasks also practiced • Bivalved casting (upper arm to fingertips) of the child's less-affected upper extremity was used during treatment period. <p><i>Control Group:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children continued their participation in conventional treatments from a PT and/or OT for a mean of 2.2 hours per week 	

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
<p>Wallen et al., 2011</p> <p>Australia</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>8/10</p> <p>High quality</p>	<p>N = 50 children with hemiplegic CP</p> <p>Age at enrollment: mean age = 48mths</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p> <p>CP Type: Hemiparesis 100%</p> <p>CP Level</p> <p>GMFCS (%): Level I: 33 (67%) Level II: 15 (31%) Level III: 1 (2%)</p> <p>MACS (%): Level I: 2 (4%) Level II: 37 (77%) Level III: 8 (17%) Level IV: 1 (2%)</p>	<p>Modified constraint-induced therapy (n=25)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Intensive occupational therapy (n=25)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <p><i>Modified constraint-induced therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8 weeks • 2 hours per day of wearing mitt on unaffected-hand (in sessions of minimum 30 minutes), 7 days/week • Weekly session with occupational therapist to demonstrate therapy with the child and provide support/education to the family. • Mitt: fabric with a solid thermoplastic volar insert preventing grasp and release • Mitt could be worn at home, preschool, other environments where adjunct therapy could be provided • Adjunct therapy: based on motor learning principles, involved self generated voluntary repetitions of specific movements of the affected upper limb, incorporated into play activities • Movements targeted were those required for ADL selected by parents as priorities for interventions. 	<p>Post-treatment (10 weeks from baseline):</p> <p><i>Occupational performance:</i></p> <p>(-) Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) - Performance</p> <p>(-) COPM - Satisfaction</p> <p>(-) Goal Attainment Scale (GAS)</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Assisting Hand Assessment (AHA)</p> <p>(-) Revised Pediatric Motor Activity Log (Frequency & quality)</p> <p>(-) Modified Tardieu Scale (Elbow flexors, pronators, wrist flexors)</p> <p>Follow-up (6 months from baseline):</p> <p><i>Occupational performance:</i></p> <p>(-) COPM - Performance</p> <p>(-) COPM - Satisfaction</p> <p>(-) GAS</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) AHA</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p><i>Intensive occupational therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8 weeks • Daily home program of approximately 20 minutes (parents could increase or decrease based on preferences and other commitments) • Weekly session with occupational therapist to demonstrate therapy with the child and provide support/education to the family. • Included techniques aimed at minimizing impairments (ex: stretching, casting, splinting) and enhancing activities (Ex: motor training, environmental modification, practice of specific goal activities) • Considered different from “customary care” as it was Goal-directed, intensive, supervised and involved a formal home program. 	<p>(-) Revised Pediatric Motor Activity Log</p> <p>(-) Modified Tardieu Scale</p>
<p>Xu et al., 2015</p> <p>China</p> <p>RCT</p> <p>8/10</p>	<p>N = 68 children with hemiplegic CP</p> <p>Age at enrollment: 2-14 years</p> <p>CP diagnosis: 100%</p>	<p>Constraint-induced movement therapy (CIMT) (n=22)</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>Constraint-induced movement therapy plus electrical stimulation (CIMT-ES) (n=23)</p>	<p><i>CIMT-ES vs. CIMT:</i></p> <p>At post-treatment (2 weeks from baseline):</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(-) Root mean square (RMS) of involved wrist extensor</p> <p>(-) RMS of involved wrist flexors</p> <p>(-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
High quality	<p>CP Type: Unilateral (Hemiplegic) 100%</p> <p>CP Level (GMFCS) (%): Level I: 60/68 (88%) Level II: 8/68 (12%)</p> <p>CP Level (MACS) (%): Level I: 10/68 (15%) Level II: 49/68 (72%) Level III: 9/68 (13%)</p>	<p>vs.</p> <p>Traditional occupational therapy (OT) (n=23)</p> <p><u>Intervention details:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 certified OTs provided treatments for all children • OTs completed follow-up phone calls once every 2 weeks to monitor home based exercise programs <p><i>Traditional occupational therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 hours a session, 5 days/week for 2 weeks • With 1 hour home-based exercises program to be done daily • After above intervention, home-based exercise program was increased to 2 hours daily for 6 months • Parents completed activity log to monitor compliance • Functional unimanual and bimanual training • Advice and treatment aimed at reducing spasticity, improving hand function and ADLs • The provision of appropriate orthotics 	<p>(-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (-) Integrated EMG (iEMG) of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (-) Cocontraction ratio</p> <p><i>Grip strength:</i></p> <p>(-) Sphygmomanometry</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale</p> <p>Follow-up (3 months from baseline):</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(-) RMS of involved wrist extensor (-) RMS of involved wrist flexors (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) iEMG of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) Co-contraction ratio</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<p><i>Constraint-induced movement therapy (with orthosis of the uninvolved hand):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 hours a session, 5 days/week for 2 weeks • With 1 hour home-based exercises program to be done daily • After above intervention, home-based exercise program was increased to 2 hours daily for 6 months • Parents completed activity log to monitor compliance • Personal instruction from professionals involving the specific practice of Designated target movements • Children completed therapeutic functional activities using the involved hand • The difficulty of the activity was increased by changing either temporal or spatial/accuracy tasks constraints <p><i>Constraint-induced movement therapy (detailed above) plus electrical stimulation:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrical stimulation was applied 20 minutes/day, 5 days/week, for 2 weeks • Extensor carpi radialis (of involved UE) • Extensor digitorum (of involved UE) • MyoTrac Infiniti dual-channel neuromuscular electrical stimulation unit and reusable carbonized-rubber electrodes 	<p><i>Grip strength:</i></p> <p>(-) Sphygmomanometry</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale</p> <p>Follow-up (6 months from baseline)</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(-) RMS of involved wrist extensor (-) RMS of involved wrist flexors (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) iEMG of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) Cocontraction ratio</p> <p><i>Grip strength:</i></p> <p>(-) Sphygmomanometry</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequencies set at 50Hz, pulse rate 30 pulses per second with 300ms of amplitude (max amplitude of 100mA). • ON time was set to 12 seconds with 1 second of rise and decay and an OFF time for 12 seconds. • Amplitude was increased slowly to the child's tolerance without causing discomfort, and adjusted to induce muscle contraction for all children. 	<p><u>CIMT-ES vs. OT:</u></p> <p>Post treatment (2 weeks from baseline):</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(-) RMS of involved wrist extensor (-) RMS of involved wrist flexors (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (-) Cocontraction ratio</p> <p><i>Grip strength:</i></p> <p>(-) Sphygmomanometry</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale</p> <p>Follow-up (3 months from baseline):</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(+) RMS of involved wrist extensor</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			<p>(-) RMS of involved wrist flexors (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) iEMG of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) Co-contraction ratio</p> <p><i>Grip strength:</i></p> <p>(-) Sphygmomanometry</p> <p><i>Motor function:</i></p> <p>(-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale</p> <p>Follow-up (6 months from baseline):</p> <p><i>Muscle recruitment and coordination:</i></p> <p>Surface EMG</p> <p>(+) RMS of involved wrist extensor (-) RMS of involved wrist flexors (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist extensor (-) RMS of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) iEMG of involved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of involved wrist flexors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist extensors (-) iEMG of uninvolved wrist flexors (+) Cocontraction ratio</p>

Constraint-Induced Movement Therapy Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
			<i>Grip strength:</i> (-) Sphygmomanometry <i>Motor function:</i> (-) Upper extremity functional test (-) Global rating scale