## Treadmill Training Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
Campbell et al., 2012	N = 16 preterm infants with periventricular brain injury	Home exercise program (kicking and treadmill stepping) (n=7)	During treatment (at age 4 months, 2 months from baseline):
USA Pilot study - RCT	Age at enrollment: 2 months  CP diagnosis: 6/16 (38%)	vs.  No-training control condition (n=9)	Motor development:  (-) Alberta Infant Motor Scale (AIMS)  During treatment (at age 6 months, 4 months from baseline):
6/10	CP Type: N/A	Intervention details:	Motor development:  (-) AIMS
Fun Syst  Leve with partite Leve with Leve with Leve with	GMFCS (Gross Motor Function Classification System) Level:  Level II: n=3 (50% of those with CP, 19% of all participants)  Level IV: n=1 (17% of those with CP, 6% of all participants)  Level V: n=2 (33% of those with CP, 13% of all participants)	<ul> <li>Monthly visits from an exercise physical therapist</li> <li>Therapist provided families with a series of 4 toys to facilitate kicking. They showed parents how to set up and use toys, explained theory behind kicking and treadmill exercises</li> <li>Parents were asked to do the exercise 8 minutes/day, 5 days/week.</li> <li>A mobile with 1 Velcro tethers attached to the ankles such that kicking or other leg movements created toy movement that provided interesting visual and auditory feedback (2-4 months corrected age). Child was placed in an infant bath seat for mobile training.</li> <li>A play gym with toys suspended from an overhead ba which when kicked produced lights and sounds (4-6months CA). Child was placed supine.</li> <li>Toy piano to play with the feet (5-10months CA). Child sat on parents' lap.</li> <li>Blow up ball attached by a tether to a plastic base for kicking practice that also produced lights and sounds when moved (8-12 months CA). Child could be either sitting or standing.</li> <li>Parents could facilitate movements, but overall children were encouraged to explore the toys without</li> </ul>	During treatment (at age 10 months, 8 months from baseline):  Motor development:  (-) AIMS  At post-treatment (12 months):  Motor development:  (-) AIMS

## Treadmill Training Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
		<ul> <li>additional handling</li> <li>At 4 months stepping practice: parent suspended the child over a portable treadmill at a speed of o-o.6m/s depending on child's ability <ul> <li>Completed for 8 minutes per day, 5 days/week</li> </ul> </li> <li>Parents had a diary to record all exercises information <ul> <li>Diary showed typical performance was 2-3x/week.</li> <li>Better compliance first 7-8 months and less so last 2-3 months</li> </ul> </li> <li>Children were allowed to participate in any other intervention prescribed by their personal caregivers</li> <li>*The interventions were intended to be a supplement to usual PT (because children with perinatal brain injury in Illinois qualify for early intervention services), however only 7/16 children in the study received any PT before 12 months, and only 5 received PT beginning at 5 months.</li> <li>*Those that did receive PT - it was usually 1x60min session weekly at home. Focused on developmental milestones &amp; educating caregivers.</li> </ul>	
Mattern-Baxter et al., 2013	N = 12 children with CP	Locomotor treadmill training with typical physical therapy (n=6)	At post-treatment (6 weeks):  Gross motor function:
United States	Age at enrollment: 21 months (+/-6 months)	vs.  Typical physical therapy only (n=6)	(-) GMFM-66 - dimension D (-) GMFM-66 - dimension E Mobility
Quasi-randomized controlled trial	CP diagnosis: 100%	Intervention details:	(+) Functional Mobility Scale (FMS) (+) Peabody Developmental Motor Scales
3/10	CP Type (%): Hypotonic: 5/12 (42%) Spastic (hemiplegic or	<ul> <li>10-20 minutes 2x/day</li> <li>6 times/week for 6 weeks</li> <li>subscale</li> <li>(+) Pediatric Ex</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>second edition (PDMS-2) - Locomotion subscale</li> <li>(+) Pediatric Evaluation of Disability</li> <li>Inventory (PEDI) - Mobility subscale</li> </ul>

## Treadmill Training Results Table

Author, Year, Country, Design, PEDro score, Rating	Sample Size	Intervention	Outcomes and significance: (+) significant (-) not significant
,	diplegic): 7/12 (58%)  GMFCS Level (%): Level I: 4/12 (33%) Level II: 8/12 (66%)	<ul> <li>Small pediatric portable treadmill in their home</li> <li>Carried out by parents, with weekly supervision by physiotherapist</li> <li>Children used their custom orthotics</li> <li>All children used the bilateral side bars mounted on the treadmill</li> <li>Parents were instructed to assist the children in leg advancement only when needed and to provide as little manual support as needed at the pelvis</li> <li>Children were encouraged to self-correct their stepping pattern before the parents intervened</li> <li>Treadmill speed was determined at initial training and increased as quickly as possible throughout the sessions</li> <li>Regular physical therapy:</li> <li>Once per week</li> <li>At home or at physiotherapy facility</li> <li>*Intervention group had locomotor training &amp; regular physical therapy*</li> <li>*Control group children were offered the same treadmill intervention protocol after the last assessment for the study was completed.</li> </ul>	(+) significant (-) not significant  Walking speed  (-) 10 Minute Walk Test (10MWT)  Follow-up (1 month post intervention):  Gross motor function:  (+) GMFM-66 - dimension D  (-) GMFM-66 - dimension E  Mobility  (-) FMS  (+) PDMS-2 - Locomotion  (+) PEDI - Mobility  Walking speed  (-) 10MWT  Follow-up (4 month post intervention):  Gross motor function:  (-) GMFM-66 - dimension D  (-) GMFM-66 - dimension E  Mobility
			(-) FMS (-) PDMS-2 - Locomotion (+) PEDI - Mobility  Walking speed (-) 10MWT